3639

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 199		U.S.	Patent and T	rademark	Office: U	PTO/SB/21 (09-04) through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 J.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 199	5. no person	s are required to respond to a concept Application Number			unless it	displays a valid OMB control number.
TRANSMITTAL FORM				10/053,304		
		Filing Date	November 7, 2001			
		First Named Inventor	David P. Vellante			
		Art Unit	3639			
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Examiner Name	Fadey S. Jabr			
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	ITC 2-002	ITC 2-002			
	ENC	LOSURES (Check a	il that apply)		
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Reman	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocati Change of Correspondence Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on C rks se to Restriction Requirement	Address		Appea of App Appea (Appea Propri	Allowance Communication to TC Il Communication to Board leals and Interferences Il Communication to TC Il Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) letary Information Letter Enclosure(s) (please Identify):
SIGN	ATURE	DE ADDITIONAL ATTO	DRNEY C	DR AG	FNT	
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name						
Mueller and Smith, LPA			 -			·
Signature Score E.	Bu	he				
Printed name Diane E. Burke		****				•
Date October 24, 2005			Reg. No.	45,725	j	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is sufficient postage as first class mail in an eithe date shown below:	being facsi	CATE OF TRANSMISS mile transmitted to the USP dressed to: Commissioner for	TO or depos	ited with	n the Un	ited States Postal Service with Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on
	7/	eney				
Typed or printed name Sane Keeney	/)~	- The same of the			Date	October 24, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Appln. No. 10/053,304
Response dated October 24, 2005
Reply to Office Action of October 17, 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

re Application of

David P. Vellante, et al.

Serial No.

10/053,304

Filed:

OCT 2 7 2005

November 7, 2001

For:

Method for Assessing the Business Value of Information

Technology

TC/AU

3639

Examiner

Fadey S. Jabr

Attorney Docket No.

ITC 2-002

HONORABLE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS MAIL STOP AMENDMENT P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

This is a response to the October 17, 2005 Office Action containing a restriction requirement.

It is noted that the restriction has been called for with respect to two inventions identified as Inventions I and II. Invention I includes claims 1-14 and 27-30, while Invention II includes claims 15-26. Applicant provisionally elects, with traverse, Invention I including claims 1-14 and 27-30.

Section 121 of the Patent Statute authorizes a requirement for restriction only when two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in one application. The two inventions identified above clearly are dependent in that each is related to the other in operation or effect. The Statute requires both independence and distinctness, not either, and provides such requirement in definite terms. The construction adopted by the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure assumes that the Statute authorizes restriction between inventions which are not independent and distinct, but which are either independent or distinct. It is Applicant's position that the plain meaning of the Statute defies such construction. For this reason, Applicant requests that the restriction requirement be withdrawn so that the claims in controversy may be prosecuted as a single invention.

Appln. No. 10/053,304 Response dated October 24, 2005 Reply to Office Action of October 17, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 00, 24, 2005

Diane E. Burke Reg. No. 45,725

MUELLER AND SMITH, L.P.A. Mueller-Smith Building 7700 Rivers Edge Drive Columbus, Ohio 43235-1355

Tel.: 614-436-0600 Fax: 614-436-0057

email: dburke@muellersmith.com

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited on October 24, 2005 with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450